

NO. 35469-1-II

**COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION II
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**

ALLAN PARMELEE,

Appellant,

v.

LAURA MATHIEU, ET AL.,

Respondents.

AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ROBERT M. MCKENNA
Attorney General

Maureen Hart
Solicitor General
WSBA #7831
PO Box 40100
Olympia, WA 98504-0100
(360) 753-2536

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. ISSUES ADRESSED BY AMICUS.....1

III. ARGUMENT OF AMICUS.....1

 A. Incarcerated Felons Possess Diminished Legal Rights
 That Are Inconsistent With A Right To Request Records
 Under The PRA.....1

 1. The Civil Rights Of Incarcerated Felons Were
 Limited At Common Law2

 2. Washington’s Constitution And Statutes Confirm
 That Felons Have Limited Civil Rights.....4

 3. The Constitutional And Common Law Rights Of An
 Incarcerated Felon Are Limited.....5

 4. Statutes Governing Washington’s Corrections
 System Are At Odds With A PRA-Defined Right To
 Public Records.....7

 5. The PRA Does Not Extend To Incarcerated Felons.....9

 B. Government Employees’ Badge Identification
 Photographs Are Not Public Records Under The PRA14

 C. Whether Other Government Employee Employment
 Records Are Subject To Disclosure Depends On The
 Nature Of The Record.....19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Brouillet v. Cowles Publ'g Co.</i> , 114 Wn.2d 788, 791 P.2d 526 (1990).....	20
<i>Dawson v. Daly</i> , 120 Wn.2d 782, 845 P.2d 995 (1993).....	17, 18, 20
<i>Dawson v. Hearing Comm.</i> , 92 Wn.2d 391, 597 P.2d 1353 (1979).....	2, 12, 13
<i>Dep't of Ecology v. Campbell & Gwinn</i> , 146 Wn.2d 1, 43 P.3d 4 (2002).....	9
<i>Green v. State</i> , 251 A.D. 108, 295 N.Y.S. 672 (1937).....	5
<i>Grooms v. Thomas</i> , 93 Okla. 87, 219 P. 700 (1923).....	5
<i>In re Sego</i> , 7 Wn. App. 457, 499 P.2d 881 (1972), <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , 82 Wn.2d 736, 513 P.2d 831 (1973).....	3
<i>In the Matter of Walgren</i> , 104 Wn.2d 557, 708 P.2d 380 (1985).....	3, 4
<i>Lindeman v. Kelso Sch. Dist. 458</i> , 127 Wn. App. 526, 111 P.3d 1235 (2005), <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , 162 Wn.2d 196, 172 P.3d 329 (2007).....	15
<i>Lindeman v. Kelso Sch. Dist. No. 458</i> , 162 Wn.2d 196, 172 P.3d 329 (2007).....	16, 18
<i>Livingston v. Cedeno</i> , 135 Wn. App. 976, 146 P.3d 1220 (2006), <i>review granted</i> , 161 Wn.2d 1014 (2007).....	12

<i>Mehdipour v. Wise</i> , 65 P.3d 271 (Okla. 2003).....	4
<i>Mithrandir v. Dept. of Corr.</i> , 164 Mich. App. 143, 416 N.W.2d 352 (1987).....	6
<i>Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 589, 98 S. Ct. 1306, 55 L. Ed. 2d 570 (1978).....	6
<i>Oliver v. Harborview Med. Ctr.</i> , 94 Wn.2d 559, 618 P.2d 75 (1980).....	passim
<i>Progressive Animal Welfare Soc'y v. Univ. of Wash.</i> , 125 Wn.2d 243, 884 P.2d 592 (1994).....	17
<i>Sappenfield v. Dep't of Corr.</i> , 127 Wn. App. 83, 110 P.3d 808 (2005).....	12
<i>Turner v. Safley</i> , 482 U.S. 78, 107 S. Ct. 2254, 96 L. Ed. 2d 64 (1987).....	6
<i>U.S. v. Brailey</i> , 408 F.3d 609 (9th Cir. 2005)	5
<i>Washington Legal Found. v. U.S. Sentencing Comm'n</i> , 17 F.3d 1446 (D. C. Cir., 1994).....	6
<i>Wolff v. McDonnell</i> , 418 U.S. 539, 94 S. Ct. 2963, 41 L. Ed. 2d 935 (1974).....	5, 6

Statutes

Laws of 2007, ch. 197, § 1	10
Laws of 1973, ch. 1	9
Laws of 1973, ch. 1 § 2(36)	9
RCW 2.36.070(5).....	4
RCW 4.04.010	2

RCW 42.04.020	4
RCW 42.17.010(11).....	11, 15
RCW 42.17.020	10
RCW 42.56	1
RCW 42.56.010(2).....	14, 15, 19
RCW 42.56.010(3).....	14
RCW 42.56.030	10, 11
RCW 42.56.050	19
RCW 42.56.070(1).....	14
RCW 42.56.230	17
RCW 42.56.230(2).....	19
RCW 72.09.010(1).....	7
RCW 72.09.010(3).....	8
RCW 72.09.010(6).....	8
RCW 72.09.050	7
RCW 72.09.530	7, 11
RCW 9.41.040	4
RCW 9.92.066(1).....	5
RCW 9.92.120	4
RCW 9.94A.637(4).....	5
RCW 9.94A.885(2).....	5

RCW 9.96.020	5
RCW 9.96.050(1)(c)	5
RCW 9.96.050(1)(d)	5
RCW 9.96A.020.....	4
RCW 9A.04.060.....	2

Regulations

WAC 137-28-220(1).....	8
------------------------	---

Constitutional Provisions

Const. art. I, § 15.....	3
Const. art. VI, § 3.....	1, 4

